



Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations of the United States Geological Survey

CHAPTER D1

APPLICATION OF SURFACE GEOPHYSICS TO GROUND-WATER INVESTIGATIONS

By A. A. R. Zohdy, G. P. Eaton,
and D. R. Mabey

BOOK 2
COLLECTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

MANUEL LUJAN, Jr., *Secretary*

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Dallas L. Peck, *Director*

Any use of trade, product, of firm names in this publication is for
descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the
U.S. Government

First printing 1974
Second printing 1980
Third printing 1984
Fourth printing 1990

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1974

For sale by the Books and Open-File Reports Section, U.S. Geological Survey,
Federal Center, Box 25425, Denver, CO 80225

PREFACE

The series of manuals on techniques describes procedures for planning and executing specialized work in water-resources investigations. The material is grouped under major subject headings called "Books" and further subdivided into sections and chapters. Section D of Book 2 is on surface geophysical methods.

The unit of publication, the chapter, is limited to a narrow field of subject matter. This format permits flexibility in revision and publication as the need arises. "Application of surface geophysics to ground-water investigations" is the first chapter to be published under Section D of Book 2.

CONTENTS

	Page		Page
Abstract	1	Electrical methods—Continued	
Introduction	1	Direct current-resistivity method—Continued	
Design of geophysical surveys	1	Analysis of electrical sounding curves—	
Collection and reduction of geophysical		Continued	
data	2	Quantitative interpretation—	
Interpretation	2	Continued	
The literature of exploration geophysics	2	Analytical methods of in-	
Electrical methods, by A. A. R. Zohdy	5	terpretation—Continued	
Telluric current method	5	Three-layer interpre-	
Magneto-telluric method	6	tation	42
Spontaneous polarization and		Four-layer (or more)	
streaming potentials	8	interpretation	44
Direct current-resistivity method	8	Empirical and semi-empirical	
Definition and units of resistivity ..	8	methods of interpreta-	
Rock resistivities	9	tion	45
Principles of the resistivity method	9	Moore's cumulative resis-	
Electrode configuration	10	tivity method	45
Wenner array	10	Barnes' layer method	46
Lee-partitioning array	11	Applications of resistivity surveys	
Schlumberger array	11	in ground-water studies	47
Dipole-dipole arrays	11	Mapping buried stream	
Electrical sounding and horizontal		channels	47
profiling	13	Geothermal studies	50
Comparison of Wenner, Schlum-		Mapping fresh-salt water in-	
berger, and dipole-dipole measure-		terfaces	52
ments	16	Mapping the water table	54
Problem of defining probing depth	20	Mapping clay layers	55
Advantages of using logarithmic		Electromagnetic methods	55
coordinates	20	Induced polarization methods	56
Goelectric parameters	22	Relationship between apparent	
Types of electrical sounding curves		chargeability and apparent re-	
over horizontally stratified media	24	sistivity	61
Electrical sounding over laterally		Induced polarization sounding and	
inhomogeneous media	26	profiling	61
Limitations of the resistivity method	27	Applications of induced polarization	
Analysis of electrical sounding		in ground-water surveys	63
curves	32	References cited	63
Qualitative interpretation	33	Seismology, by G. P. Eaton	67
Determination and use of		Elementary principles	68
total transverse resist-		Reflection versus refraction shooting ..	70
ance, T , from sounding		Comparison of the reflection and refrac-	
curves	34	tion seismic methods in practice	72
Determination of total		Seismic refraction measurements in	
longitudinal conductance,		hydrogeology	73
S , from sounding curves	35	Effect of departures from the simple	
Determination of average		stratified model	73
longitudinal resistivity,		The multilayered model	73
ρ_L , from a sounding		Effect of a regular increase of	
curve	36	velocity with depth	73
Distortion of sounding		Effect of dipping layers	73
curves by extraneous		Effect of a sloping ground	
influences	37	surface	75
Quantitative interpretation	39	Effect of a buried steplike re-	
Analytical methods of in-		fractor	75
terpretation	39	Effect of a discordant steep-	
Two-layer interpreta-		sided body	75
tion	41	Effect of a thin refractor	75

	Page		Page
Seismology, by G. P. Eaton—Continued		Gravimetry—Continued	
Seismic refraction measurements		Reduction of gravity data—Continued	
in hydrogeology—Continued		Terrain correction	92
Effect of departures from the		Drift correction	93
simple stratified model—Continued		Regional gradients	93
Effect of a velocity inversion at		Bouguer anomaly	94
depth	76	Interpretation of gravity data	94
Effect of a refractor of ir-		Ambiguity	94
regular configuration	76	Interpretation techniques	97
Effect of lateral varying		Significance and use of density	
velocities	76	measurements	97
Corrections applied to seismic refraction		Application of gravimetry to hydro-	
measurements	76	geology	100
Elevation correction	77	Aquifer geometry	100
Weathered-layer correction	77	Estimating average total porosity --	100
Errors in seismic refraction measure-		Surface method	100
ments	77	Borehole method	104
Application of seismic refraction meas-		Effect of ground-water levels on	
urements in hydrogeology	79	gravity readings	105
Mapping buried channels	79	References cited	106
Measuring depths to the water table	80	Magnetic methods, by D. R. Mabey	107
Determining the gross stratigraphy		Magnetic surveys	108
of an aquifer	81	Magnetic properties	109
Mapping lateral facies variations in		Design of magnetic surveys	109
an aquifer	82	Data reduction	110
Estimating porosity from seismic		Interpretation of magnetic data	110
wave-velocity values	83	Examples of magnetic surveys	111
References cited	84	Gem Valley, Idaho	111
Gravimetry, by G. P. Eaton	85	Antelope Valley, California	113
Reduction of gravity data	87	References cited	115
Latitude correction	89	Cost of geophysical surveys in 1970	116
Tidal correction	89	Electrical methods	116
Altitude corrections	89	Gravity surveys	116
Free-air correction	90	Seismic surveys	116
Bouguer correction	90	Magnetic surveys	116

FIGURES

	Page
1. Diagram showing flow of telluric current over an anticline	6
2. Examples of electrode arrays for measuring x and y components of telluric field	6
3. Telluric map of the Aquitaine basin, France	7
4. Diagram showing the relationship between a point source of current I (at origin of coordinates) in an isotropic medium of resistivity ρ and the potential V at any point P	9
5. Wenner, Lee-partitioning, and Schlumberger electrode arrays	11
6. Dipole-dipole arrays	12
7. Graph showing horizontal profile and interpretations over a shallow gravel deposit in California using Wenner array	14
8. Map of apparent resistivity near Campbell, Calif	15
9. Graph showing horizontal profiles over a buried stream channel using two electrode spacings: $a = 30$ feet and $a = 60$ feet	16
10. Electrode arrays	17
11. Graph showing comparison between four-layer Schlumberger and Wenner sounding curves	18
12. Correct displacements on a Schlumberger sounding curve and method of smoothing	19
13. Logarithmic plot of sounding curves	21
14. Linear plot of sounding curves	22
15. Columnar prism used in defining geoelectric parameters of a section	23

CONTENTS

VII

	Page
16. Comparison between two-layer Schlumberger curves for $\rho_2/\rho_1 = 10$ and $0.1; h_1 = 1$ meter for both curves -----	25
17. Comparison between two-layer azimuthal (or equatorial) and radial (or polar) sounding curves -----	26
18. Examples of the four types of three-layer Schlumberger sounding curves for three-layer Earth models -----	27
19. Examples of three of the eight possible types of Schlumberger sounding curves for four-layer Earth models -----	28
20. Examples of the variation of Schlumberger sounding curves across a vertical contact at various azimuths -----	29
21. Examples of the variation of Wenner sounding curves across a vertical contact at various azimuths -----	30
22. Examples of different types of curve equivalence -----	31
23. Map of apparent resistivity near Rome, Italy -----	33
24. Sections of apparent resistivity near Minidoka, Idaho. Values on contour lines designate apparent resistivities in ohm-meters. Snake River basalt thickens toward the north -----	34
25. Graphical determination of total transverse resistance from a K-type, Schlumberger sounding curve -----	35
26. Profile of total transverse resistance values T in ohm-meters squared, near Minidoka, Idaho --	36
27. Graphical determination of total longitudinal conductance S from an H-type Schlumberger sounding curve -----	37
28. Transformation of a Schlumberger KH-type curve into a polar dipole-dipole curve to evaluate $\rho_{rmin} = \rho_L$ and $H = S\rho_L$ -----	38
29. Distortion of sounding curves by cusps caused by lateral inhomogeneities -----	39
30. Example of a narrow peak on a K-type curve, caused by the limited lateral extent of a resistive middle layer -----	40
31. Example of a distorted HK-Schlumberger curve and the method of correction -----	41
32. Examples of discontinuities on Schlumberger curves caused by a near vertical, dike-like structure --	42
33. Two-layer master set of sounding curves for the Schlumberger array -----	43
34. Interpretation of a two-layer Schlumberger curve ($\rho_2/\rho_1 = 5$) -----	44
35. Interpretation of a three-layer Schlumberger H-type curve -----	45
36. Interpretation of a four-layer Schlumberger curve by the auxiliary point method using two three-layer curves -----	46
37. Map of San Jose area, California, showing areas studied -----	48
38. Map of apparent resistivity in Penitencia area, California -----	49
39. Resistivity profile and geologic section, Penitencia, Calif -----	50
40. Map of apparent resistivity near Campbell, Calif., obtained with Wenner array at $a = 30$ feet and showing location of Section AA' -----	51
41. Geoelectric section and drilling results near Campbell, Calif -----	52
42. Apparent resistivity profile and geologic interpretation over buried channel, near Salisbury, Md --	53
43. Buried stream channel near Bremerhaven, West Germany, mapped from electric sounding (after Hallenbach, 1953) -----	54
44. Map of apparent resistivity in the Bad-Krozingen geothermal area, Germany -----	55
45. Map of apparent resistivity in geothermal areas in New Zealand -----	56
46. Map of apparent resistivity in White Sands area, New Mexico, for electrode spacing $AB/2 = 1,000$ feet -----	57
47. Map of White Sands area, New Mexico, showing isobaths of the lower surface of fresh-water aquifer -----	58
48. Examples of Schlumberger sounding curves obtained in the White Sands area, New Mexico ---	59
49. Block diagram of Pohakuloa-Humuula area, Hawaii -----	59
50. Geoelectric section north of Bowie, Ariz. -----	60
51. Examples of Schlumberger sounding curves obtained near Bowie, Ariz -----	60
52. Apparent resistivity and apparent chargeability IP sounding curves for a four-layer model -----	61
53. Geoelectric Section, VES and IP sounding curves of alluvial deposits in Crimea -----	62
54. Schematic ray-path diagram for seismic energy generated at source S and picked up at geophone G -----	69
55. Huygens' construction for a head wave generated at the V_1 - V_2 interface -----	70
56. Seismic wave fronts and traveltime plot for an idealized horizontally layered model -----	71
57. Schematic traveltime curves for idealized nonhomogeneous geologic models -----	74

	Page
58. Comparison of 97 seismic refraction depth determinations versus drill-hole depths at the same localities	78
59. Seismic cross section, drill-hole data, and travelttime curves for a buried Tertiary stream channel in northern Nevada County, Calif	79
60. Structure contours on the buried bedrock surface of the Passaic River Valley, northern New Jersey, based on seismic refraction and drill-hole measurements	80
61. Seismic cross section of the Jordan Valley east of Great Salt Lake, Utah	81
62. Distribution of observed compressional wave velocities in unsaturated sediments of the ancestral Miami River Valley, Ohio	82
63. Plot of observed porosity versus compressional wave velocity for unconsolidated sediments	83
64. Gravitational attraction at point <i>P</i> due to buried mass <i>dm</i>	86
65. A, Observed gravity profile for a buried sphere in a homogeneous rigid nonrotating Earth. B, Sources of variation present in gravitational measurements made in the search for a buried sphere in a schematic, but real, Earth model	88
66. Bouguer gravity profiles across a low ridge based on six different densities employed in calculating the Bouguer correction	91
67. Schematic models and associated Bouguer gravity anomalies for idealized geologic bodies	95
68. Plot of observed compressional wave velocities versus density for sediments and sedimentary rocks	99
69. A, Complete Bouguer-gravity map of a buried pre-glacial channel of the Connecticut River. B, Complete Bouguer-gravity map of part of San Georganio Pass, Calif	101
70. A, Distribution of outcrops and structure contours on the buried bedrock surface, Perris Valley, Calif. B, Bouguer-gravity map of Perris Valley, Calif	102
71. Profiles of observed Bouguer gravity, residual gravity, and calculated porosity for Perris Valley, Calif	103
72. In situ density log determined with a borehole gravity meter; drill hole UCe-18, Hot Creek Valley, Nev	104
73. Plots of gravity values versus depth to the water table for aquifers having a porosity of 33 percent and specific retentions of 0 percent and 20 percent, respectively	105
74. Aeromagnetic profile at 230 m above Gem Valley, Idaho	112
75. Aeromagnetic map of Gem Valley and adjoining areas, Idaho	114
76. Gravity and aeromagnetic profiles across Cenozoic basin in Antelope Valley, Calif	115

Metric Units of Measurement

Many of the analyses and compilations in this report were made in metric units of measurements. The equivalent English units are given in the text and illustrations where appropriate. To convert metric units to English units, the following conversion factors should be used:

<u>Metric units</u>	<u>Conversion factor</u>	<u>English units</u>
Length in centimeters (cm)	×0.394	= inches
in meters (m)	×3.281	= feet
in kilometers (km)	×0.6214	= miles
Area in square kilometers (km ²)	×0.386	= square miles
Slope in meters per kilometer (m/km)	×5.28	= feet per mile

APPLICATION OF SURFACE GEOPHYSICS TO GROUND-WATER INVESTIGATIONS

By A. A. R. Zohdy, G. P. Eaton, and D. R. Mabey

Abstract

This manual reviews the standard methods of surface geophysics applicable to ground-water investigations. It covers electrical methods, seismic and gravity methods, and magnetic methods.

The general physical principles underlying each method and its capabilities and limitations are described. Possibilities for non-uniqueness of interpretation of geophysical results are noted. Examples of actual use of the methods are given to illustrate

applications and interpretation in selected hydrogeologic environments.

The objective of the manual is to provide the hydrogeologist with a sufficient understanding of the capabilities, limitations, and relative cost of geophysical methods to make sound decisions as to when use of these methods is desirable. The manual also provides enough information for the hydrogeologist to work with a geophysicist in designing geophysical surveys that differentiate significant hydrogeologic changes.

Introduction

This manual is a brief review of the standard methods of surface geophysical exploration and their application in ground-water investigations. It explains the capabilities of exploration geophysics and, in a general way, the methods of obtaining, processing, and interpreting geophysical data. A minimum of mathematics is employed, and the scope is limited to an elementary discussion of theory, a description of the methods, and examples of their applications. It is in no sense intended as a textbook on applied geophysics. Rather its aim is to provide the hydrogeologist with a rudimentary understanding of how surface geophysical measurements may be of help to him. Many of the standard methods of geophysical exploration are described, but those used most extensively in ground-water investigations are stressed. The rapidly developing techniques of geophysical exploration involving measurements in the microwave, infrared, and ultraviolet portions of the electromagnetic spectrum are not included. The application of these "remote sensors" to ground-water investigations is in an early

stage of development and testing; thus, their eventual importance cannot be appraised at this time. Borehole geophysical techniques will not be discussed here except as they relate to surface or airborne surveys.

In the discussions that follow each of the major geophysical methods will be briefly described with emphasis on the applications and limitations in ground-water investigations. A few examples of successful application of each method will be described.

Design of Geophysical Surveys

Geophysical surveys can be useful in the study of most subsurface geologic problems. Geophysics also can contribute to many investigations that are concerned primarily with surface geology. However, geophysical surveys are not always the most effective method of obtaining the information needed. For example, in some areas auger or drill

holes may be a more effective way of obtaining near-surface information than geophysical surveys. In some investigations a combination of drilling and geophysical measurements may provide the optimum cost-benefit ratio. Geophysical surveys are not practical in all ground-water investigations, but this determination usually can be made only by someone with an understanding of the capabilities, limitations, and costs of geophysical surveys.

A clear definition of the geologic or hydrologic problem and objectives of an investigation is important in determining whether exploration geophysics should be used and also in designing the geophysical survey. The lack of a clear definition of the problem can result in ineffective use of geophysical methods. The proper design of a geophysical survey is important not only in insuring that the needed data will be obtained but also in controlling costs, as the expense of making a geophysical survey is determined primarily by the detail and accuracy required.

Collection and Reduction of Geophysical Data

Some simple geophysical surveys can be made by individuals with little previous experience and with an investment in equipment of only a few hundred dollars. Other surveys require highly skilled personnel working with complex and expensive equipment. Good equipment and technical expertise are essential to a high quality survey. Attempts to use obsolete or "cook-book" interpretation methods in geophysical surveys often increase the total cost of the survey and result in an inferior product.

Some geophysical data can be used directly in geologic interpretations. Other geophysical data require considerable processing before the data can be interpreted, and the cost of data reduction is a major part of the total cost of the survey. Many data processing operations in use today require the use of electronic computers.

Interpretation

Interpretation of geophysical data can be completely objective or highly subjective. It can range from a simple inspection of a map or profile to a highly sophisticated operation involving skilled personnel and elaborate supporting equipment. Some interpretations require little understanding of the geology, but the quality of most interpretations is improved if the interpreter has a good understanding of the geology involved. Although some individuals are both skilled geophysicists and geologists, a cooperative effort between geologists and geophysicists is usually the most effective approach to the interpretation of geophysical data.

The Literature of Exploration Geophysics

The science, technology, and art of geophysical exploration have undergone explosive growth in the last two decades and with this growth has come an increasing degree of specialization in all subdisciplines of the field. The literature indicates an increasing trend in this direction and the geologist or engineer interested in applications of geophysics to problems with which he is concerned is faced with a growing array of books and periodicals. With the idea that interested readers of this manual may want to pursue specific subjects, a list of the more readily available texts and periodicals published in English follows. Some of them date back as many as 30 years, and parts of these are outdated. Nevertheless, much of the theory presented in them is still valid today.

Elementary Textbooks of a General Nature

Dobrin, M. B., 1960, *Introduction to Geophysical Prospecting: Second ed.*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 446 p.

- Eve, A. S., and Keys, D. A., 1956, *Applied Geophysics in the Search for Minerals: Fourth ed.*, Cambridge University Press, London, 382 p.
- Griffiths, D. H., and King, R. F., 1965, *Applied Geophysics for Engineers and Geologists*: Pergamon Press, London, 223 p.
- Nettleton, L. L., 1940, *Geophysical Prospecting for Oil*: McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 444 p.
- Parasnis, D. S., 1962, *Principles of Applied Geophysics*: Methuen, London, 176 p.

Advanced Textbooks of a General Nature

- Grant, F. S., and West, G. F., 1965, *Interpretation Theory in Applied Geophysics*: McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 581 p.
- Heiland, C. A., 1940, *Geophysical Exploration*, Reprinted 1963: Hafner, New York, 1,013 p.
- Jakosky, J. J., 1950, *Exploration Geophysics: Second ed.*, Trija, Los Angeles, 1,195 p.
- Landsberg, H. E., ed., *Advances in Geophysics: vols. 1-13*, Academic Press, New York.

Books Emphasizing the Electrical Methods

- Bhattacharya, P. K., and Patra, H. P., 1968, *Direct Current Geoelectric Sounding—Principles and Interpretation*: Elsevier, Amsterdam, 135 p.
- Hansen, D. A., Heinrichs, W. E., Jr., Holmer, R. C., MacDougall, R. E., Rogers, G. R., Sumner, J. S., and Ward, S. H., eds., 1967, *Mining Geophysics, Vol. II, Theory, Chapter II*: Soc. Explor. Geophysicists, Tulsa, 708 p.
- Keller, G. V., and Frischknecht, F. C., 1966, *Electrical Methods in Geophysical Prospecting*: Pergamon Press, Oxford, 517 p.
- Kunetz, Geza, 1966, *Principles of Direct Cur-*

rent Resistivity Prospecting: Gebrüder Bornträger, Berlin, 103 p.

Books Emphasizing the Seismic Method

- Dix, C. H., 1952, *Seismic Prospecting for Oil*: Harper, New York, 414 p.
- Musgrave, A. W., ed., 1967, *Seismic Refraction Prospecting*: Soc. Explor. Geophysicists, Tulsa, 604 p.
- Slotnick, M. M., 1959, *Lessons in Seismic Computing*: Soc. Explor. Geophysicists, Tulsa, 268 p.
- White, J. E., 1965, *Seismic Waves—Radiation, Transmission, and Attenuation*: McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, 302 p.

Books Emphasizing the Magnetic Method

- Hansen, D. A., Heinrichs, W. E., Jr., Holmer, R. C., MacDougall, R. E., Rogers, G. R., Sumner, J. S., and Ward, S. H., eds., 1967, *Mining Geophysics, Vol. II, Theory, Chapter III*: Soc. Explor. Geophysicists, Tulsa, 708 p.
- Nagata, Takesi, 1961, *Rock Magnetism*: Rev. ed., Maruzen, Tokyo, 350 p.

Case History Compilations

- European Association of Exploration Geophysicists, 1958, *Geophysical Surveys in Mining, Hydrological and Engineering Projects*: European Association of Exploration Geophysicists, The Hague, The Netherlands, 270 p.
- Lyons, P. L., ed., 1956, *Geophysical Case Histories: Vol. II-1956*, Soc. Explor. Geophysicists, Tulsa, 676 p.
- Nettleton, L. L., ed., 1949, *Geophysical Case Histories: Vol. 1-1948*, Soc. Explor. Geophysicists, Tulsa, 671 p.
- Woollard, G. P., and Hanson, G. F., 1954, *Geophysical Methods Applied to Geologic Problems in Wisconsin*: Univ. Wisconsin, Madison, 255 p.

Periodicals

"Geoexploration," published by the Elsevier Publishing Company, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

"Geophysics," published by the Society of Exploration Geophysicists, Tulsa, Okla.

"Geophysical Abstracts," previously pub-

lished by the U.S. Geological Survey, Washington, D.C. (Publication ceased in 1971)

"Geophysical Prospecting," published by the European Association of Exploration Geophysicists, The Hague, The Netherlands.